

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/770,541	01/26/2001	Prithviraj Banerjee	NWU-P001	6788	
7590 08/24/2004 THE LAW OFFICE OF DEEPTI PANCHAWAGH-JAN			EXAMINER		
			CHU, CHRIS C		
3039 CALLE D SAN JOSE, CA	E LAS ESTRELLA A 95148		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,,,,,,,	- ,,,,,		2815		
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· <u>-</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/770,541	BANERJEE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chris C. Chu	2815			
D!! 6:	The MAILING DATE of this communicate	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I reply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>03 June 2004</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) <u>18 - 37</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>18 - 37</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[ Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docenses.  2. Certified copies of the priority docenses.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	numents have been received. Euments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage			
Attachmer	at(s)					
2)  Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-6 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Request for Continued Examination

1. A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 3, 2004 has been entered. An action on the RCE follows.

## Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment filed on June 3, 2004 has been received and entered in the case.

#### Claim Objections

3. Claims 34 – 37 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The preambles of claims 34 - 37 are not consisting with the independent claim 33.

The preamble of claims 34 - 37 should be --the method for compiling a functional description of claim 33, further comprising the step of;--.

Application/Control Number: 09/770,541

Art Unit: 2815

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 18 - 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bowen (U.S. Pub. No. US2002/0100029).

Regarding claims 18, 25 and 26, Bowen discloses in e.g., Fig. 2 and pages 19 - 32 a method for compiling a functional description expressed in an interpretive, algorithmic language into target code for selected hardware, the method comprising the steps of:

- A parser parsing (parser; 204) the functional description expressed in the interpretive, algorithmic language with at least one undeclared variable into an abstract syntax tree (page 6, section 0113 and pages 19 32);
- A type-shape analyzer (206), coupled to the parser, for inferring a type and a dimension to the undeclared variable by analyzing the use of the undeclared variable in the abstract syntax tree (i.e., Figs. 9A 9D and pages 19 32);
- assigning the inferred type and dimension to the undeclared variable (i.e.,
   Figs. 9A 9D and pages 19 32);
- a statement deconstructor (210), coupled to the type-shape analyzer, for transforming a compound statement in the abstract syntax tree into a series of

Art Unit: 2815

single statements (claim 1) and at least one simple statement (e.g. claim 26); and

- a translator (214), coupled to the statement deconstructor (claim 25), for translating the abstract syntax tree into a register transfer level format.

Regarding claims 19, 27 and 34, Bowen discloses in e.g., Fig. 2 and pages 19 - 32 further comprising: a user directive file (202), coupled to the parser, for annotating the functional description with at least one user defined directive selected from the group consisting of constraint directives, assertions, and compiler hints.

Regarding claims 20, 28 and 35, Bowen discloses in e.g., Fig. 2 and pages 19 - 32 further comprising: a precision analyzer (pages 23 - 26), coupled to the type-shape analyzer, for determining the precision of the at least one undeclared variable and analyzing a value range of the at least one undeclared variable.

Regarding claims 21 and 29, Bowen discloses in e.g., Fig. 2 and pages 19 – 32 further comprising: a real number parser (pages 23 - 26), coupled to the precision analyzer, for parsing a real number into an integer part and a fractional part. wherein said real undeclared variable is one of said at least one undeclared variable.

Regarding claims 22, 30 and 36, Bowen discloses in e.g., Fig. 2 and pages 19-32 further comprising: a memory access optimizer (Figs. 9A-9D and pages 19-32), coupled to the statement deconstructor, for analyzing array access patterns across loop iterations and replacing a statement in a loop including a memory access with multiple statements including the memory access to reduce the number of individual memory accesses.

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claims 23, 31 and 37, Bowen discloses in e.g., Fig. 2 and pages 19 - 32 further comprising: a pipeline optimizer (Figs. 9A - 9D and pages 19 - 32), coupled to the statement deconstructor, for analyzing compound loop structures to identify pipeline opportunities and applying the pipeline algorithm to pipeline opportunities to generate nodes corresponding to the loop body, predicate nodes corresponding to loop conditional statements, and a schedule for scheduling pipeline operations.

Regarding claims 24 and 32, Bowen discloses in e.g., Fig. 2 and pages 19-32 the statement deconstructor for transforming a compound statement in the abstract syntax tree into at least one simple statement comprises: a scalarizer (i.e., codes in the page 24, Figs. 9A - 9D and pages 19 - 32), coupled to the type-shape analyzer, for expanding a matrix operation into at least one loop.

Regarding claim 33, Bowen discloses in e.g., Fig. 2 and pages 19-32 one or more computer readable storage devices having computer readable code embodied on said computer readable storage device, said computer readable code for programming one or more computers to perform a method for compiling a functional description expressed in an interpretive, algorithmic language into target code for selected hardware, the method comprising the steps of:

- parsing (204) the functional description expressed in the interpretive,
   algorithmic language with at least one undeclared variable into an abstract
   syntax tree (page 6, section 0113 and pages 19 32);
- inferring a type and a dimension to the undeclared variable by analyzing the usage of the undeclared variable in the abstract syntax tree (i.e., Figs. 9A 9D and pages 19 32);

Art Unit: 2815

- assigning (i.e., Figs. 9A 9D and pages 19 32) the inferred type and dimension to the undeclared variable;
- transforming (210) compound statements in the abstract syntax tree into a series of single statements; and
- translating (214) the abstract syntax tree into a register transfer level format.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18 - 37 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chris C. Chu whose telephone number is (703) 305-6194. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10:30 - 7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

Chris C. Chu Examiner Art Unit 2815